

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Communication of the Communication of t

APPLICATION NO	, Г	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,833		07/05/2001	Raymond Walter Ellis	21183-P001P1	9671	
33864	7590	06/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP			PWU, JEFFREY C			
	275 BATTERY STREET SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3305			2143			
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Continue					
Examiner  Jeffrey C. Pwu  2143  — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addres.  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In over, however, may are uply be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period or reply is specified above, the manumication use the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In over, however, may are uply be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply replaced above, the maining state of the communication of the provision of the provisions of 3 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48.52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48.52-54 is/are rejected.  71 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-18 and 50.18-18.28-		Applicant(s)	lication No.		•
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Stx (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication will apply and will expire Stx (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication will apply and will expire Stx (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutus, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are subjected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.		ELLIS ET AL.	899,833		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailling date of this communication will apply adult apply be timely filled after SIX (b) MONTH'S from the mailling date of this communication will apply adult apply be timely filled under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after shall will be set or extended period for reply will, by statutus, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than them emoths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objecte		Art Unit	miner	e Action Summary	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply it is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statule, cause the application to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b		2143	ey C. Pwu		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication, even if \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ment the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the provide status is a status in the mailing date of this communication.  - If	ss –	e correspondence address	on the cover sheet with	LING DATE of this communication ap	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the met closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to ted reaving(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a □ All b □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified		FLIVO) OD TUUDTY (OO) D	NET TO EVOIDE - 110		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.  2a) ↑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ↑ This action is non-final.  3) ↑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ↑ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ↑ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48,52-54 is/are rejected.  7) ↑ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48,52-54 is/are rejected.  7) ↑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ↑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ↑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ↑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ↑ accepted or b) ↑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) ↑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ↑ All b) ↑ Some * c) ↑ None of:  1. ↑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ↑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		ION.  e timely filed  from the mailing date of this community  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	OF THIS COMMUNIC, in no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI the application to become ABA	S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. THS from the mailing date of this communication. By is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statur by the Office later than three months after the mailing	WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re
2a)       This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3)       Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         4)       Claim(s)       1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.         4a)       Of the above claim(s)       is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)       Claim(s)       is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s)       1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48.52-54 is/are rejected.         7)       Claim(s)       is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s)       are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15					tatus
2a)       This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3)       Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         4)       Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.         4a)       Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48.52-54 is/are rejected.         7)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			rv 2006.	ive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	1)[🛛 ]
Closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-14.16.18-24.26.28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-4.6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-19.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	i e		<del></del>	· · · _ <del> · ·</del>	•
Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.81) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application.	erits is	prosecution as to the meri	xcept for formal matte	application is in condition for allowa	3) 🗌 🤄
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-14,16,18-24,26,28-48 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				ims	)ispositic
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		ration	/are nending in the an		·
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		auori.	, -		
6)  Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-19.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				· · ·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			s/are rejected.		
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examinel Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-19.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			tion requirement.	are subject to restriction and/	8) [ (
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				s	pplicatio
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	ar	iected to by the Evaminer	N⊠ accepted or h\□		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	<i>7</i> 1 .	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	•			J.S.C. § 119	riority u
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>		)(a)-(d) or (f)	tv under 35 U.S.C. & *		
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>		(a)-(a) or (i).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			e been received.	•	•
<u> </u>		cation No			2
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag	ge		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		;
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		ived.	certified copies not re	ached detailed Office action for a lis	* Se
Attachment(s)				•	ttachment(
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				ces Cited (PTO-892)	Notice
-,	2)	il Date	Paper No(s)/	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	☐ Notice

Application/Control Number: 09/899,833 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/28/06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what is "a first method" in the limitation "invoking a first method of said object in response to said first message".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2143

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, 8, 10-12, 18, 20-22, 28, 30, 43, 45, 47, and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangachari et al. (U.S. 6,470,227) in view of Auerbach et al. (U.S. 6,549,937)

Rangachari teaches a method for automated tool Management substantially claimed including the steps of receiving a first message in a first selected protocol from a first client application, wherein said first message comprises a first request to perform a first action on a first tool, wherein said message identifies an object in an equipment model of said tool, [Rangachari -- Figure 1, Col. 8 lines 43-46, Col. 9 lines 22-42, Col. 10 lines 45-51, Col. 11 lines 4-18 and Col. 13 lines 7-12 - Workflows are created by the user manipulating a GUI at the automation system to select equipment and actions necessary for a job. This message, which is sent using the Semiconductor Equipment Communication Standard protocol (SECS), is received which correlates an object in the workflow with selected actions/methods]; invoking a first method of said object in response to said first message [Rangachari -- Figure 1 and Col. 10 lines 52-64 - Methods are invoked between application objects and servers to perform specific tasks outlined in the message]; and transferring a first return value to said first client application. wherein said return value is associated with said first action [Rangachari -- Col. 10 lines 64-67 -Col. 11 lines 1-3 - Client is notified of the completion of the task along with any attributes that are needed, i.e. return values].

Rangachari further discloses wherein said message further comprises data and wherein said step of invoking passes said data to said method [Rangachari -- Col. 13 lines 4-41- Message,

Art Unit: 2143

i.e. workflow definition, includes parameters, i.e. data which serve to help link together activities and equipment that is to be executed].

Rangachari further discloses wherein said protocol comprises the SECS protocol [Rangachari -- Col. 8 lines 43-45]. With respect to claim 10, Rangachari further teaches wherein said data in said message is notification data [Rangachari -- Col. 10 lines 43-64 and Col. 12 lines 65-67 - Data in messages notifies workflow engine and equipment what task to perform in addition the user is notified of status information of equipment].

However, Rangachari fails to teach "receiving a second message in a second selected protocol from a second client application; wherein said second message comprises a second request to perform a second action on a second tool; wherein said second message identifies a second object in said equipment model, wherein said equipment model comprises a logical representation of said second tool, wherein said second selected protocol is different than said first selected protocol; invoking a second method of said second object in response to said second message; and transferring a second return value to said second client application; wherein said second return value is associated with said second action". However, Contungo et al. teaches multiple clients running multiple applications communicating using different protocols that performs the multi-protocol communication in a network which allows the entry of data messages and/or commands with multiple service providers, i.e. a single equipment model that can accept messages to perform actions from two client applications utilizing two different protocols. (It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the network system as taught by Contungo et al., to allow users to access servers data without the need for protocol translation. Furthermore, to provide customers

Art Unit: 2143

faster network performance, improved stability, and improved access to remotely accessed data by supporting both protocols to automat the manufacturing process of Rangachari, to improve workflow efficiency by better monitoring processes, thereby preventing bottlenecks, work stoppages and problems which limit production.

6. Claim 3-4, 6, 9, 13-14, 16, 19, 23-24, 26, 29, 44, 46, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangachari et al. (U.S. 6,470,227) and Contungo et al. (U.S. 6,198,480) and further in view of Tadokoro et al. (U.S. 6,463,352).

Rangachari- Contungo teaches the invention substantially as claimed, but fails to explicitly teach requesting data from an asynchronous source, if valid information exists corresponding to said data, creating said return value based on said valid information, if valid information does not exist corresponding to said data, creating said return value based on a database of said equipment model, incorporating said return value into a return message to said client application and transferring said return message in said selected protocol to said client application in response to an address provided by said client application. Tadokoro, however, discloses a system for management of equipment, i.e. cutting machines, which includes an alarm routine which requests data from a alarm source, i.e. asynchronous source, which returns valid information in a return message to said client application, i.e. GUI or browser, which includes current status information indicating alarm conditions, via graphic or highlight along with job/equipment information or equipment information and past historical values stored in a database if current information is unavailable. Additionally the system transfers the return messages back to the client application

Art Unit: 2143

from the routines via COM or RMI protocol to the same address, i.e. machine or browser IP, that requested the data [Tadokoro --Figures 11A-D, Col. 10 lines 1-24, Col. 11 lines 5-6, Col. 16 lines 44-65, Col. 18 lines 35-40, Col. 22 lines 1-27 and Col. 28 lines 53-67 - Col. 29 lines 1-67]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the returning of alarm monitoring information from an asynchronous source, i.e. alarm, which provides alert information, i.e. current or historic, via a message returned to the client application using a selected protocol, as taught by Tadokoro into Rangachari- Contungo, in order to improve workflow and efficiency of a system by better monitoring processes, thereby preventing bottlenecks, work stoppages and problems which limit production [Tadokoro -- Col. 2 lines 10-25].

if said request comprises a request for data and if said tool is a synchronous source of said data, then the method further comprises the steps of:

retrieving information from said tool [Tadokoro -- Col. 8 lines 39-46 and Col. 17 lines

10-14 - Collecting objects polls VM objects and reads data from sensors on equipment via 1a monitoring routine/source, i.e. synchronous source]; creating said return value based on said information, incorporating said return value into a return message to said client application, and;, transferring said return message in said selected protocol to said client application in response to an address provided by said client application [Tadokoro -- Col. 8 lines 24-59, Col. 9 lines 10-26, Col. 10 lines 1-24, Col. 11 lines 5-6, Col. 16 lines 44-65, Col. 17 lines 21-37, Col. 18 lines 35-40 and Col. 30 lines 39-67 - Col. 31 lines 1-20 - Status array is populated with appropriate values

Rangachari- Contungo - Tadokoro teach the invention substantially as claimed, including wherein

Art Unit: 2143

and is returned to a calling. component via a message through one of various protocols including COM, RMI and HTML, thereby routing the message to the client application, i.e. GUI or browser, via the address that made the request].

Rangachari- Contungo -Tadokoro teach the invention substantially as claimed, including wherein if said request comprises a request for data and if said tool is not one of an asynchronous source of said data and a synchronous source of said data, then the method further comprises the steps: creating said return value based on a database of said equipment model and incorporating said return value into a return message to said client application, and, transferring said return message in said selected protocol to said client application in response to an address provided by said client application [Rangachari -- Col. 8 lines 43-46 and Col. 10 lines 43-67 - Col. 11 lines 1-18 - Manufacturing system places a request via a message to the system for a manufacturing process which configures equipment and creates a return value via a message using the SECS protocol and sends this message back to the sending client application].

Rangachari, Contungo, Rangachari, teach the invention substantially as claimed, including wherein said method of said object is invoked to remotely access and electronically diagnose said tool [Tadokoro -- Figures 1, 2A, 8B, 11D;12C, Col. 9 lines 10-26, Col. 16 lines 44-65 and Col. 29 lines 60-67 - Col. 30 lines 1-4 - Automation system allows for monitoring of equipment, i.e. for diagnosing the status, remotely over the Internet/Intranet].

Art Unit: 2143

7. Claims 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangachari et al. (U.S. 6,470,227) and Contungo et al. (U.S. 6,198,480) in view of O'Brien et al. (U.S. 6,658,571).

Rangachari- Contungo teach the invention substantially as claimed, but fails to explicitly teach generating a security wrapper layer, wherein said security wrapper layer provides a layer of protection to said model; and creating a security wrapper object in said security wrapper, wherein a pointer to a corresponding object is stored in said security wrapper and transferred to said client application., O'Brien, however, discloses a security framework for applications to limit exposure to potential attacks by providing a security wrapper layer for system calls which creates a pointer to a corresponding application object within the system thereby providing greater protection against malicious code or wrongful method invocation [O'Brien -- Col. 2 lines 13-24, Col. 3 lines 41-55, Col. 4 lines 30-48, Col. 5 lines 1-15 and lines 28-46 and Col. 6 lines 18-35]. Rangachari- Contungo would want the benefit of the teachings of O'Brien in order to provide a secure system and transport due to the communications which can occur over the vulnerable Internet [Rangacbari - Col. 6 lines 22-24]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the security wrapper layer and security methodology, as taught by O'Brien into the invention of Rangachari, in order to provide security to an application which does not significantly affect performance which limits the amount of potential damage by attackers and does not require using additional hardware or modifications to existing software applications [O'Brien -- Col. 1 lines 63-67 and Col. 2 lines 1-9].

Art Unit: 2143

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 28-48, 52-54

have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeffrey C. Pwu whose telephone number is 571-272-6798. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David

Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/26/06

PRIMARY EXAMINE

Page 9